



600 Mutual Life Building
605 First Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104-2224

Fax: 206-682-9117
Phone: 206-624-7850
E-mail: email@glosten.com

MEETING MINUTES

High Latitude Research Vessel (HLRV) Concept Review Meeting, 12 March 2001

From: DHK
Date: 13 March 2000
File No.: 00100
To: All Project Team Members

Attendees:

From the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF)

Vera Alexander
Robert Elsner
Tom Smith
Tom Weingartner
Terry Whitledge (via conference call)

From Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI)

Dick Pittenger
Robertson Dinsmore
Joe Coburn
Peter Wiebe

From NSF

Mike Reeve
Dolly Dieter

From The University of Washington

Knut Aagaard

From Western Washington University

Suzanne Strom

From Bigelow Laboratory

John Christensen

From NOAA NMFS

Jim Meehan
John Hotaling

From UNOLS

Mike Prince

From The Glosten Associates

Mr. Duane Laible
Mr. Justin Morgan
Mr. Dirk Kristensen

Presiding:

Dr. Robert Elsner

- Discussions relating to suitable draft restriction
 - Science work will require the vessel to be capable of operating in 10m (33') water depths, e.g., estuaries.
 - NOAA suggested a minimum of 4.6m (15') underkeel clearance.
 - A maximum draft of 18 feet was decided for the concept design.

- Discussions relating to the ICES noise criteria and bubble sweep-down
 - Revise the speed at which the ICES criterion is to be met from 11 knots to 8 knots.
 - Continue to investigate the suitability of Azipod type thrusters and their ability to meet noise criteria (AC hum).
 - NOAA has investigated twin screw 11 ft. diameter props versus single larger prop in the current NOAA design. The twin 11 ft. propellers were on a shallower draft version of the design.
 - Need single mission examples – Oversight Committee will develop and supply to Glosten to enable endurance estimates.
 - Need to consider bubble sweep-down for shallow water multi-beam installation. The multi-beam is a necessity for coring work. According to Peter Weibe, a multi-beam that will be able to map the entire water column (fish) is being developed – do we need to consider any additional design constraints for this apparatus? There is some possibility that these may be small enough to mount on a lowering centerboard – needs investigation.
 - Damaged propellers (from ice) will no longer be quiet.
 - Need definition of SS, speed, type of multi-beam – from Oversight Committee.
 - Centerboard is a solution that could be considered for bubble sweep-down avoidance.
 - Multi-beam frequency needs to be defined (300 kHz?).

- Cost discussion
 - The budget estimate is missing cost increases due to special science and ICES.
 - Need independent review at some point in the process – only get to make one budget request.
 - As size (cubic number) increases, costs will increase.

- Freeboard discussion
 - Deck wetness is undesirable.
 - New techniques will allow over-side items to be launched close to water surface via articulated cranes. International fleet currently uses this technology.
 - Work in winter Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea will require healthy freeboard.
 - General consensus was to allow increase in freeboard to 9 to 10 feet.

- Differences related to Atlantic variant
 - Bow variants.
 - Higher speed?
 - No draft restriction.

- NSF comments (Mike Reeve/Dolly Dieter)
 - NSF perceives a strong demand for operations further north.
 - Must compliment *Healy* capabilities, i.e., be able to do those things the *Healy* cannot, e.g., shallow draft operations
 - Encouraged to think about science needs 15-20 years out.
 - The ship is to be used by the entire Arctic science community.
 - Three main missions in shelf areas work in ice, work in higher sea states, and operate with low noise.
 - Work in the marginal ice zone to compliment *Healy*.
 - Endurance on *Gould* and *Palmer* is 44 days (normal for Antarctic vessels).

- Service life discussion
 - Plan for mid-life refit.
 - Proposals for mid-life lengthening are not funded.
 - One way to expand service life is to build a larger hull, but limit the science and accommodation outfit to that of a smaller vessel.
 - Current trends in vessel science indicate a cyclic pattern from large to small projects.
 - Number of berths should be proportional to lab space.
 - Science parties will need to share “wire time.”
 - Scheduling trend towards longer cruises.
 - On longer cruises berth space is limiting.

- Seakeeping discussion
 - Improved seakeeping is a priority in the science community.
 - Lateral acceleration varies with vertical distance from roll center. Wider ships tend to have lower acceleration at working deck, but acceleration increases more rapidly with height above deck.
 - WHOI provided seakeeping criteria used in their recent SWATH model tests.
 - Seakeeping performance must increase operational season to year-round (~300 days per year) in order for the vessel to pay for itself.

- Azipod advantages
 - Improves maneuvering in both open water and ice.
 - Can use the wash to clear a track in ice.
 - Moving motors outside of hull results in more usable space.
 - Increase in propulsive efficiency due to tractor propeller.
 - Potential for reduced cavitation noise due to tractor propeller.
 - Successful implementation on *Botnica*.

- Azipod disadvantages
 - Increased noise relative to conventional strut/shaft arrangement, primarily due to motor hum in pods, but noise attenuation measures are more likely to succeed with motor than with propeller.
 - Relatively new technology raises reliability questions. Mean time between failure, life cycle costs.
 - Potentially more difficult to repair than other options.

- Helicopter pad discussion
 - Sole purpose of heli-pad is for personnel transport.
 - Helicopter will not be used for science or ice navigation.
 - USCG operates large helicopters (Dolphin and Jayhawk) but would most likely hover for an evacuation.
 - Size of Evergreen helicopters and landing pad requirements to be investigated.
 - No hangar or refueling required.

Design trade-offs discussion

- Hull dimensions
 - Consensus to accept extension of length parameter by 10-15 feet.
 - Consensus to relax 15 foot draft restriction to about 18 feet.
 - Consensus to examine a hull dimensions about 220' length x 47' beam x 18' draft for next cycle.
 - The effect of reamers on the new hull is to be explored.
 - The draft increase improves ice capability and seakeeping. The length increase helps reduce resistance, and helps seakeeping. The beam is a compromise between a wide hull for seakeeping and a narrow hull for reduced ice and open water resistance.
- Operating Profile
 - Consensus to maintain the 45 day endurance requirement.
 - Example missions discussed. 5 day transit + 20 days station surveys (4-6 knots between stations) + 10 days ice + 5 day transit → 42-44 days total. Often full steam between stations.
 - The NOAA FRV COR contains a worked example of some mission profiles.
 - Percentage of ice operations varies by location and mission from 5 days at the ice margin up to 75% in first-year ice.
 - Consensus that on average 20-25% full power in ice is realistic.
 - An Atlantic version of the Alaska region accessibility chart that is keyed to ice capability, ice climatology and sea conditions needs to be developed.
- ICES
 - Consensus that ICES noise requirements at 8 knots a goal.
 - The 11 knot standard represents a basis for the exchange of research information.
 - NOAA indicated that ICES at 8 knots would provide sufficient capability for training purposes.
- Helicopter
 - No refuel, touch-and-go pad.
 - Check with Evergreen for size requirements.
 - Helipad should not obscure visibility for ship operations.

- Science Deadweight
 - 50 LT is way low for a vessel of this size.
 - Cape class - 35 LT. *Oceanus* - 50 LT. *Knorr* - 125 LT.
 - A heavy deadweight should be selected to minimize changing gear, which is more difficult in northern regions.
 - Consensus to use 100 LT for the new vessel. Note, this is to be the “variable” science load – exclusive of major science equipment such as winches, wires, boats and cranes.

- Speed
 - WHOI expressed a desire for an operating speed of 14 knots.
 - Installed horsepower driven by resistance in ice.
 - Consensus to use an operating speed of 12 knots for calculating endurance.
 - Consensus that vessel should have a 14 knot trial speed.

- Azipods
 - Noise a question to be resolved.
 - Z-drives produce more noise.
 - Reliability an issue to be investigated. Contact operators of *Botnica*.
 - How is a propeller changed? Is drydocking required?

- Ice capability
 - Primarily first-year ice.
 - Vessel will tow in ice, fish in ice, perform multiple wire operations in ice, and use a single warp net.
 - Dynamic positioning in ice needed, but performance is subject to ice flows.
 - Revise SMR to reflect a speed requirement of 2 knots in level ice.
 - East Coast variant to use the ABS A1 ice class (same as Alaska vessel).
 - Hull strength to exceed ice class per SMR to resist crushing if beset.
 - CASSPR compliance is required in spite of *Healy*'s apparent exception. Contacting captain of *Healy* recommended for CASSPR insights.
 - ABS does not have much experience with ice class. Recommend designing vessel to Canadian or DNV rules to benefit from their experience in ice.
 - Heated working decks are to be provided, similar to those on *R/V Nathaniel Palmer*.

- Science Outfit
 - Dual purpose trawl winch is not feasible due to incompatible wire requirements for fishing and oceanographic work.
 - Fishing winches should be removable, since stock assessment is not a primary mission.
 - Alvin will not be used, but small ROV and AUV will be launched and recovered.
 - Mast/keel recommended for transducers. Mast should only be used in open water.
 - Ramp on *Knorr* is useful, but plate over required.

- Defining transducer frequency requirements critical to identifying a successful arrangement.
 - Transducers flush for ice operations. *Healy* has problem with thickness of window for ADCP
 - Dual purpose, i.e., fishing and science, not feasible.
 - Fish trawling is not expected on each cruise so capability should be portable
 - The science winch should be below decks and should be a dual purpose traction winch capable of handling 9/16” and .680 EM/EO cable.
 - Trawl ramp should have a high strength cover.
 - All fishing gear should be removable.
- Schedule
- Preliminary design start – July 2001.
 - Model test start – October 2001.
 - Contract design start – January 2002.
 - Contracting process start – January 2003.
 - Three levels of involvement in design process: management committee, advisory committee, and science community.
 - Preliminary design meetings at 30, 60, 80, and 95 percent complete. Contract design meetings at 30, 60, and 90 percent complete.
 - Release design information to the science community during the preliminary design phase at 30, 80 and 95 percent and during the contract design phase at 90 percent.
 - Present information at AICC meeting in December 2001
 - Present information at Ocean Science meeting February 2002.
 - NOAA design started in 1996 and began contracting in 2000. Overall engineering cost \$3 million. Model testing program cost \$750,000.
 - Vendor support critical for congressional funding approval on NOAA FRV project. Model testing in the D.C. area also a plus in this regard.